

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
LAREDO DIVISION**

LAWRENCE (LARRY) W. SINCLAIR	)	
Plaintiff, Pro Se	)	
	)	Case No. 5:23-CV-109
VS	)	
	)	
BRIAN MARK KRASSENSTEIN, et al	)	
<u>Defendants.</u>	)	

**ORDER**

This matter having come before the Court by **PLAINTIFF UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SEPARATE INDEPENDENT RESPONSE SPECIFIC TO DEFENDANTS MULTIPLE ALTERNATIVE, Motion to Transfer Venue Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404**; and

Plaintiff having complied with **LR7. CIVIL PRETRIAL MOTION PRACTICE**; and

Defendants being **UNOPPOSED** to plaintiffs Motion, and Granting said Motion would better serve this Court and the parties in this Court ruling on defendants Motion, the COURT HEREBY:

1. \_\_\_\_\_ Plaintiff **UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
SEPARATE INDEPENDENT RESPONSE SPECIFIC TO DEFENDANTS  
MULTIPLE ALTERNATIVE, Motion to Transfer Venue Pursuant to 28  
U.S.C. § 1404 is GRANTED and Plaintiff shall file said response within  
Fourteen (14) days of the date of this ORDER**
2. \_\_\_\_\_ Plaintiff **UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
SEPARATE INDEPENDENT RESPONSE SPECIFIC TO DEFENDANTS  
MULTIPLE ALTERNATIVE, Motion to Transfer Venue Pursuant to 28  
U.S.C. § 1404 is DENIED and Plaintiff shall include his response to  
defendants Alternation Motion in Response to DKT 21 due March 22,  
2024.**

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ Day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2024.

---

U.S. Magistrate Judge